




	Metric	CQC Key Question	2015/16 Report ¹	2016/17 Report ²	National Aggregate (England & Wales)	National Aspirational Standard	Comparison to other units	
	N/A	Case ascertainment	Well Led	Not reported for this audit	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Process measures	35 cases	Crude proportion of patients 12+ receiving all key care processes annually	Effective	Not reported	83.67%	84.01%	N/A	 47.5% 99.6% Within Expected Range
	79 cases	Organisation compared with nationally: Case-mix adjusted mean HbA1c (mmol/mol)	Effective	Not outlier	66.91	67.30	N/A	 54.0 75.6 Within Expected Range
Blood glucose diabetes control (HbA1c)	80 cases	Organisational performance compared between years: Median HbA1c (mmol/mol)	Effective	63.00	60.00	64.00	N/A	This metric is provided to compare year on year changes within the unit rather than comparison with national figures. Significantly Better
			A change of more than 1 mmol/mol is deemed by the audit body to be indicative of a clinically significant change.					



HbA1c levels are an indicator of how well an individual's blood glucose levels are controlled over time. Higher values indicate poorer control.



KEY ONLY

Negative outlier (below 99.7% control limit) UNIT Positive outlier (above 99.7% control limit)

Worse than expected (below 95% CL) Within expected range Better than expected (above 95% CL)

Display of performance boundaries does vary depending on volume of activity. See FAQs for further information.