


	Metric	CQC Key Question	2015/16 Report ¹	2016/17 Report ²	National Aggregate (England & Wales)	National Aspirational Standard	Comparison to other units	
	N/A	Case ascertainment	Well Led	Not reported for this audit	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Process measures	47 cases	Crude proportion of patients 12+ receiving all key care processes annually	Effective	Not reported	89.67%	84.01%	N/A	<p>47.5% 99.6%</p> <p>Within Expected Range</p>
	103 cases	Organisation compared with nationally: Case-mix adjusted mean HbA1c (mmol/mol)	Effective	Not outlier	69.47	67.30	N/A	<p>54.0 75.6</p> <p>Within Expected Range</p>
Blood glucose diabetes control (HbA1c)	108 cases	Organisational performance compared between years: Median HbA1c (mmol/mol)	Effective	62.00	64.25	64.00	N/A	<p>This metric is provided to compare year on year changes within the unit rather than comparison with national figures.</p> <p>Significantly Worse</p> <p>A change of more than 1 mmol/mol is deemed by the audit body to be indicative of a clinically significant change.</p>




HbA1c levels are an indicator of how well an individual's blood glucose levels are controlled over time. Higher values indicate poorer control.

Produced by HQIP in partnership with the



KEY ONLY



Display of performance boundaries does vary depending on volume of activity. See FAQs for further information.