






Metric	CQC Key Question	2016 Report ¹	2017 Report ²	National Aggregate (England & Wales)	National Aspirational Standard	Comparison to other trusts		
All patients 250 cases	Crude proportion of patients seen by a Cancer Nurse Specialist	Responsive	71.8%	86.8%	N/A	90%*	Does not meet the national minimum standard of 90%	
	Case mix adjusted one year relative survival rate	Effective	Not significantly different from the national level	33.9%	37.0%	none	Within the expected range	
NSCLC 250 cases	Case mix adjusted percentage of patients with Non Small Cell Lung Cancer (NSCLC) receiving surgery	Effective	Not significantly different from the national level	17.5%	17.5%	17%*	Within the expected range	
NSCLC 250 cases	Case mix adjusted percentage of fit patients with advanced Non Small Cell Lung Cancer (NSCLC) receiving Systemic Anti-Cancer Treatment	Effective	Not significantly different from the national level	52.7%	62.0%	65%*	Within the expected range	
SCLC 27 cases	Case mix adjusted percentage of patients with Small Cell Lung Cancer (SCLC) receiving chemotherapy	Effective	Not significantly different from the national level	65.5%	68.0%	70%*	Within the expected range	



www.rcplondon.ac.uk/NLCA

Produced by HQIP in partnership with the  Care Quality Commission

All trusts in England participate in the audit, and data is submitted for approximately 100% of patients. Case ascertainment is therefore not presented separately.

Absolute values will not always be directly comparable due to differences in confidence intervals.