







	Metric	CQC Key Question	Year 3 <sup>1</sup>	Year 4 <sup>2</sup>	National Aggregate (England & Wales)	National Standard	Comparison to other hospitals		
	265 cases	Case Ascertainment	Well Led	92%	<b>84.9%</b>	82.7%	85%	Between 55% and 85%	
Proportion of patients for which each process of care was met	265 cases	Crude proportion of cases with pre-operative documentation of risk of death	Effective	61%	<b>60.0%</b>	74.6%	85%	Between 55% and 85%	
	189 cases	Crude proportion of cases with access to theatres within clinically appropriate time frames	Effective	73%	<b>76.7%</b>	82.0%	85%	Between 55% and 85%	
	149 cases	Crude proportion of high-risk cases (≥5% predicted mortality) with consultant surgeon and anaesthetist present in theatre	Effective	65%	<b>70.5%</b>	82.5%	85%	Between 55% and 85%	
	84 cases	Crude proportion of highest-risk cases (>10% predicted mortality) admitted to critical care post-operatively	Responsive	88%	<b>79.8%</b>	86.8%	85%	Between 55% and 85%	
	265 cases	Risk adjusted 30-day mortality	Effective	6.7%	<b>7.2%</b>	9.5%	None	 Within Expected Range	



www.nela.org.uk

Produced by HQIP in partnership with the 

**KEY ONLY**

Positive outlier (below 99.8% Control Limit) Unit Negative outlier (above 99.8% CL)

Better than expected (Below 95% CL) Worse than expected (above 95% CL)

Within expected range

Display of performance boundaries does vary depending on volume of activity. See FAQs for further information.