







	Metric	CQC Key Question	Year 3 ¹	Year 4 ²	National Aggregate (England & Wales)	National Standard	Comparison to other hospitals		
	48 cases	Case Ascertainment	Well Led	54%	24.7%	82.7%	85%	Less than 55%	
Proportion of patients for which each process of care was met	48 cases	Crude proportion of cases with pre-operative documentation of risk of death	Effective	57%	64.6%	74.6%	85%	Between 55% and 85%	
	42 cases	Crude proportion of cases with access to theatres within clinically appropriate time frames	Effective	93%	83.3%	82.0%	85%	Between 55% and 85%	
	28 cases	Crude proportion of high-risk cases (≥5% predicted mortality) with consultant surgeon and anaesthetist present in theatre	Effective	100%	100.0%	82.5%	85%	Higher than 85%	
	16 cases	Crude proportion of highest-risk cases (>10% predicted mortality) admitted to critical care post-operatively	Responsive	76%	93.8%	86.8%	85%	Higher than 85%	
	48 cases	Risk adjusted 30-day mortality	Effective	16.1%	6.5%	9.5%	None	 Within Expected Range	



www.nela.org.uk

Produced by HQIP in partnership with the 

KEY ONLY

Positive outlier (below 99.8% Control Limit)
Negative outlier (above 99.8% CL)

Better than expected (Below 95% CL)
Worse than expected (above 95% CL)

Display of performance boundaries does vary depending on volume of activity. See FAQs for further information.