







	Metric	CQC Key Question	Year 3 ¹	Year 4 ²	National Aggregate (England & Wales)	National Standard	Comparison to other hospitals		
	225 cases	Case Ascertainment	Well Led	100%	94.1%	82.7%	85%	Higher than 85%	
Proportion of patients for which each process of care was met	225 cases	Crude proportion of cases with pre-operative documentation of risk of death	Effective	80%	80.4%	74.6%	85%	Between 55% and 85%	
	139 cases	Crude proportion of cases with access to theatres within clinically appropriate time frames	Effective	77%	65.5%	82.0%	85%	Between 55% and 85%	
	141 cases	Crude proportion of high-risk cases (≥5% predicted mortality) with consultant surgeon and anaesthetist present in theatre	Effective	44%	78.7%	82.5%	85%	Between 55% and 85%	
	84 cases	Crude proportion of highest-risk cases (>10% predicted mortality) admitted to critical care post-operatively	Responsive	87%	92.9%	86.8%	85%	Higher than 85%	
	225 cases	Risk adjusted 30-day mortality	Effective	9.2%	7.5%	9.5%	None		Within Expected Range



www.nela.org.uk

Produced by HQIP
in partnership with the



KEY ONLY

Positive outlier (below 99.8% Control Limit) Unit Negative outlier (above 99.8% CL)

Better than expected (Below 95% CL) Worse than expected (above 95% CL)

Within expected range

Display of performance boundaries does vary depending on volume of activity. See FAQs for further information.