








	Metric	CQC Key Question	Year 3 <sup>1</sup>	Year 4 <sup>2</sup>	National Aggregate (England & Wales)	National Standard	Comparison to other hospitals		
	105 cases	Case Ascertainment	Well Led	64%	78.9%	82.7%	85%	Between 55% and 85%	
Proportion of patients for which each process of care was met	105 cases	Crude proportion of cases with pre-operative documentation of risk of death	Effective	76%	78.1%	74.6%	85%	Between 55% and 85%	
	62 cases	Crude proportion of cases with access to theatres within clinically appropriate time frames	Effective	80%	77.4%	82.0%	85%	Between 55% and 85%	
	59 cases	Crude proportion of high-risk cases (≥5% predicted mortality) with consultant surgeon and anaesthetist present in theatre	Effective	82%	81.4%	82.5%	85%	Between 55% and 85%	
	46 cases	Crude proportion of highest-risk cases (>10% predicted mortality) admitted to critical care post-operatively	Responsive	100%	67.4%	86.8%	85%	Between 55% and 85%	
	105 cases	Risk adjusted 30-day mortality	Effective	11.5%	8.3%	9.5%	None		Within Expected Range



www.nela.org.uk

Produced by HQIP in partnership with the 

**KEY ONLY**

Positive outlier (below 99.8% Control Limit) Unit Negative outlier (above 99.8% CL)  
 Within expected range  
 Better than expected (Below 95% CL) Worse than expected (above 95% CL)

Display of performance boundaries does vary depending on volume of activity. See FAQs for further information.