







	Metric	CQC Key Question	Year 3 <sup>1</sup>	Year 4 <sup>2</sup>	National Aggregate (England & Wales)	National Standard	Comparison to other hospitals		
	120 cases	Case Ascertainment	Well Led	89%	<b>86.3%</b>	82.7%	85%	Higher than 85%	
Proportion of patients for which each process of care was met	120 cases	Crude proportion of cases with pre-operative documentation of risk of death	Effective	72%	<b>51.7%</b>	74.6%	85%	Less than 55%	
	100 cases	Crude proportion of cases with access to theatres within clinically appropriate time frames	Effective	73%	<b>75.0%</b>	82.0%	85%	Between 55% and 85%	
	80 cases	Crude proportion of high-risk cases (≥5% predicted mortality) with consultant surgeon and anaesthetist present in theatre	Effective	88%	<b>93.8%</b>	82.5%	85%	Higher than 85%	
	52 cases	Crude proportion of highest-risk cases (>10% predicted mortality) admitted to critical care post-operatively	Responsive	96%	<b>96.2%</b>	86.8%	85%	Higher than 85%	
	120 cases	Risk adjusted 30-day mortality	Effective	9.6%	<b>10.1%</b>	9.5%	None	 Within Expected Range	



www.nela.org.uk

Produced by HQIP in partnership with the 

**KEY ONLY**

Positive outlier (below 99.8% Control Limit) Unit Negative outlier (above 99.8% CL)

Better than expected (Below 95% CL) Worse than expected (above 95% CL)

Within expected range

Display of performance boundaries does vary depending on volume of activity. See FAQs for further information.