







# North Manchester General Hospital Emergency Laparotomy Audit


	Metric	CQC Key Question	Year 3 <sup>1</sup>	Year 4 <sup>2</sup>	National Aggregate (England & Wales)	National Standard	Comparison to other hospitals		
	136 cases	Case Ascertainment	Well Led	100%	91.3%	82.7%	85%	Higher than 85%	
Proportion of patients for which each process of care was met	136 cases	Crude proportion of cases with pre-operative documentation of risk of death	Effective	72%	61.8%	74.6%	85%	Between 55% and 85%	
	81 cases	Crude proportion of cases with access to theatres within clinically appropriate time frames	Effective	84%	79.0%	82.0%	85%	Between 55% and 85%	
	72 cases	Crude proportion of high-risk cases (≥5% predicted mortality) with consultant surgeon and anaesthetist present in theatre	Effective	85%	100.0%	82.5%	85%	Higher than 85%	
	51 cases	Crude proportion of highest-risk cases (>10% predicted mortality) admitted to critical care post-operatively	Responsive	75%	78.4%	86.8%	85%	Between 55% and 85%	
	136 cases	Risk adjusted 30-day mortality	Effective	10.3%	8.0%	9.5%	None		Within Expected Range



www.nela.org.uk

Produced by HQIP in partnership with the 

**KEY ONLY**

Positive outlier (below 99.8% Control Limit) Unit Negative outlier (above 99.8% CL)  
 Within expected range  
 Better than expected (Below 95% CL) Worse than expected (above 95% CL)

Display of performance boundaries does vary depending on volume of activity. See FAQs for further information.