


	Metric	CQC Key Question	Year 3 ¹	Year 4 ²	National Aggregate (England & Wales)	National Standard	Comparison to other hospitals		
	180 cases	Case Ascertainment	Well Led	100%	100.0%	82.7%	85%	Higher than 85%	▲
Proportion of patients for which each process of care was met	180 cases	Crude proportion of cases with pre-operative documentation of risk of death	Effective	85%	95.0%	74.6%	85%	Higher than 85%	▲
	153 cases	Crude proportion of cases with access to theatres within clinically appropriate time frames	Effective	93%	88.9%	82.0%	85%	Higher than 85%	▲
	110 cases	Crude proportion of high-risk cases (≥5% predicted mortality) with consultant surgeon and anaesthetist present in theatre	Effective	99%	99.1%	82.5%	85%	Higher than 85%	▲
	77 cases	Crude proportion of highest-risk cases (>10% predicted mortality) admitted to critical care post-operatively	Responsive	96%	97.4%	86.8%	85%	Higher than 85%	▲
	180 cases	Risk adjusted 30-day mortality	Effective	13.7%	6.9%	9.5%	None	Within Expected Range	



www.nela.org.uk

Produced by HQIP
in partnership with the



KEY ONLY

Positive outlier (below 99.8% Control Limit)

Unit Negative outlier (above 99.8% CL)

Better than expected (Below 95% CL)

Worse than expected (above 95% CL)

Display of performance boundaries does vary depending on volume of activity. See FAQs for further information.