

	Metric	CQC Key Question	2017 Report <sup>1</sup>	2018 Report <sup>2</sup>	National Aggregate	National Audit Standard	Comparison to other trusts		
Trust-level	54 Cases	Case ascertainment (hips, knees, ankles and elbows)	Well-led	No data available	53.70%	N/A	>95%	Less than 80%	●
	29 Cases	Proportion of patients consented to have personal details included (hips, knees, ankles and elbows)	Well-led	77.50%	72.41%	85%	100%	Less than 80%	●
Hospital-level	155 Cases	Risk adjusted 5 year revision ratio (for hips excluding tumours and NOF#)	Effective	0.67	0.67	1.0	1.0	Within expected range	
	6 Cases	Risk adjusted 90 day mortality ratio (for hips excluding tumours and NOF#)	Effective	1.00	1.00	1.0	1.0	Within expected range	
	NULL	Risk adjusted 5 year revision ratio (for knees excluding tumours)	Effective	0.00	NULL	1.0	1.0	N/A	
	NULL	Risk adjusted 90 day mortality ratio (for knees excluding tumours)	Effective	0.00	NULL	1.0	1.0	N/A	



[www.njrcentre.org.uk](http://www.njrcentre.org.uk)

Produced by HQIP in partnership with the



### KEY ONLY

- Outlier Status Bands:
- Positive Outlier
  - Better than Expected
  - Within Expected Range
  - Worse than Expected
  - Negative Outlier

- ▲ Green - 95% and above
- Amber - 80% to less than 95%
- Red - Less than 80%