



| | Metric | CQC Key Question | 2017 Report ¹ | 2018 Report ² | National Aggregate | National Audit Standard | Comparison to other trusts | | |
|----------------|-----------|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|---|
| Trust-level | 946 Cases | Case ascertainment (hips, knees, ankles and elbows) | Well-led | No data available | 98.20% | N/A | >95% | 95% and above | ▲ |
| | 40 Cases | Proportion of patients consented to have personal details included (hips, knees, ankles and elbows) | Well-led | 18.18% | 57.50% | 85% | 100% | Less than 80% | ● |
| Hospital-level | 100 Cases | Risk adjusted 5 year revision ratio (for hips excluding tumours and NOF#) | Effective | 0.33 | 0.33 | 1.0 | 1.0 | Within expected range | |
| | 13 Cases | Risk adjusted 90 day mortality ratio (for hips excluding tumours and NOF#) | Effective | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.0 | 1.0 | Within expected range | |
| | NULL | Risk adjusted 5 year revision ratio (for knees excluding tumours) | Effective | 0.00 | NULL | 1.0 | 1.0 | N/A | |
| | NULL | Risk adjusted 90 day mortality ratio (for knees excluding tumours) | Effective | 0.00 | NULL | 1.0 | 1.0 | N/A | |



www.njrcentre.org.uk

Produced by HQIP in partnership with the



KEY ONLY

- Outlier Status Bands:
- Positive Outlier
 - Better than Expected
 - Within Expected Range
 - Worse than Expected
 - Negative Outlier

- ▲ Green - 95% and above
- Amber - 80% to less than 95%
- Red - Less than 80%