

	Metric	CQC Key Question	2017 Report <sup>1</sup>	2018 Report <sup>2</sup>	National Aggregate	National Audit Standard	Comparison to other trusts		
Trust-level	775 Cases	Case ascertainment (hips, knees, ankles and elbows)	Well-led	No data available	100.00%	N/A	>95%	95% and above	▲
	465 Cases	Proportion of patients consented to have personal details included (hips, knees, ankles and elbows)	Well-led	98.26%	99.78%	85%	100%	95% and above	▲
Hospital-level	1067 Cases	Risk adjusted 5 year revision ratio (for hips excluding tumours and NOF#)	Effective	0.73	0.58	1.0	1.0	Within expected range	
	931 Cases	Risk adjusted 90 day mortality ratio (for hips excluding tumours and NOF#)	Effective	1.00	1.00	1.0	1.0	Within expected range	
	980 Cases	Risk adjusted 5 year revision ratio (for knees excluding tumours)	Effective	0.83	0.64	1.0	1.0	Within expected range	
	969 Cases	Risk adjusted 90 day mortality ratio (for knees excluding tumours)	Effective	0.50	1.00	1.0	1.0	Within expected range	



[www.njrcentre.org.uk](http://www.njrcentre.org.uk)

Produced by HQIP in partnership with the



### KEY ONLY

Outlier Status Bands:  
 - Positive Outlier  
 - Better than Expected  
 - Within Expected Range  
 - Worse than Expected  
 - Negative Outlier

▲ Green - 95% and above  
 ● Yellow - 80% to less than 95%  
 ● Red - Less than 80%